Reinforcement of the suture line with an ePTFE graft attached with histoacryl glue in duodenal trauma

Oral Saygun, MD;^{*} Serdar Topaloglu, MD;[†] Fatih M. Avsar, MD;[†] Hakan Ozel, MD;[†] Sema Hucumenoglu, MD;[‡] Mustafa Sahin, MD;[§] Suleyman Hengirmen, MD[†]

Background: Most duodenal injuries are managed with primary repair, but the degree of duodenal-wall injury may threaten the integrity of the primary repair. Therefore, we evaluated whether the primary repair site could be reinforced with histoacryl glue (HAG) or HAG with an expanded polytetrafluoroethylene (ePTFE) mesh. **Methods:** Grade 3 duodenal injury in the second portion of the rat duodenum was chosen as a standard trauma model. Thirty-three male rats were divided into sham (n = 3), 2-layer primary repair (n = 10), 1-layer primary repair plus HAG application (n = 10) and ePTFE attached with HAG over the 1-layer primary repair site (n = 10) groups. Ten-day survival, adhesion grades and histo-logical assessment were taken as outcome measures. **Results:** A significant survival advantage was identified in the group that had an ePTFE graft attached with HAG over a 1-layer repair when compared with the group that had an ePTFE graft attached with HAG over the primary repair site, moderately increased in the primary repair plus HAG application group and lower in the 2-layer primary repair group. ePTFE graft application was found to be beneficial to coverage of the HAG-dependent empty spaces in the serosal layer. **Conclusions:** A primary repair site after duodenal trauma or a difficult duodenal stump can be reinforced with the application of HAG or ePTFE graft implantation with HAG.

Contexte : La plupart des lésions au duodénum sont traitées par réfection principale, mais la gravité de la lésion subie par la paroi du duodénum peut menacer l'intégrité de la réfection principale. C'est pourquoi nous avons évalué la possibilité de renforcer le site de la réfection principale au moyen d'une colle histoacryl (HAG) ou d'une colle HAG avec filet de polytétrafluoroéthylène expansé (ePTFE). Méthodes : On a choisi une lésion duodénale de grade 3 dans la deuxième partie du duodénum de rat comme modèle de traumatisme standard. On a divisé 33 rats mâles en groupes témoins fictifs (n = 3), réfection principale à deux couches (n = 10), réfection principale à une couche conjuguée à une application de colle HAG (n = 10) et ePTFE fixé avec colle HAG sur le site de la réfection principale à une couche (n = 10). On a adopté comme mesures de résultat la survie à dix jours, la qualité de l'adhésion et l'évaluation histologique. Résultats : On a déterminé qu'il y avait un avantage important sur le plan de la survie dans le groupe où l'on avait fixé un filet ePTFE avec de la colle HAG sur une réfection à une couche comparativement au groupe qui avait subi une réfection principale à deux couches. On a constaté que la qualité de l'adhésion augmentait particulièrement dans le groupe où on avait fixé le filet ePTFE avec une colle HAG sur le site de la réfection principale, qu'elle augmentait moyennement dans le groupe qui avait subi une réfection principale avec application de HAG et qu'elle augmentait moins dans le groupe qui avait subi une réfection principale à deux couches. On a constaté que l'application d'un greffon ePTFE était avantageuse pour couvrir les espaces vides dépendant de la colle HAG dans la séreuse. Conclusions : Il est possible de renforcer le site d'une réfection principale effectuée après une lésion au duodénum ou un moignon duodénal difficile en appliquant une colle HAG ou en implantant un greffon ePTFE avec colle HAG.

From the *Department of Surgery, Kirikkale University, School of Medicine, Kirikkale, the †First Department of Surgery, Ankara Numune Training and Research Hospital, Suhhiye, Ankara, the ‡Department of Pathology, Diskapi SSK Training and Research Hospital, Diskapi, Ankara, and the §Department of Surgery, Selçuk University, School of Medicine, Konya, Turkey.

Part of this study was presented at the 5th European Congress of Trauma and Emergency Surgery, Oct. 1-5, 2002, Istanbul, Turkey.

Accepted for publication Jan. 31, 2005

Correspondence to: Dr. Serdar Topaloglu, Kılıç Apt. No 10/4, 6.cadde, Öveçler, 06450-1, Çankaya, Ankara, Turkey; fax 90 0 312 418 27 60; serdartopaloglu@hotmail.com

uodenal trauma presents a special challenge to surgeons. The incidence of duodenal injuries is reported to be 3.7%–5%.^{1,2} Penetrating trauma is the most common cause of duodenal injuries (77.7%).^{1,3} The vast majority of duodenal injuries are managed by simple procedures such as débridement and primary repair or resection and anastomosis.^{4–7} Early operative treatment is the rule rather than the exception in penetrating duodenal trauma.4,7,8 For up to grade 3 injuries (early recognition, no associated pancreatic or biliary injuries, and disruption of 50%-75% of the circumference), primary closure of the duodenal defect is a simple method for surgeons.9-11 In duodenal stab wounds, closure of the defect may be problematic in the presence of duodenal-wall edema.

Since 1959, the application of cyanoacrylates in various surgical settings has been well documented.12-14 Study of the utility of cyanoacrylate derivatives when applied to the gastrointestinal tract has been limited to the repair of various types of fistulas.15-17 Many studies suggest that expanded polytetrafluoroethylene (ePTFE) graft may well be an acceptable membrane with which to repair hollow viscus defects.^{18,19} In this study, our aim was reinforcement of the suture line with cyanoacrylate derivatives in grade 3 duodenal injury. For this purpose, histoacryl glue (HAG) or HAG with an ePTFE graft (Gore-Tex, W.L. Gore & Associates, Flagstaff, Ariz.) were applied over the single-layer duodenal suture line and their effects on tissue healing processes evaluated.

Methods

Thirty-three adult, male Sprague– Dawley rats weighing 200–250 g were used. All the studies were carried out under the guidelines of Selçuk University Institutional Animal Ethics Committee. The rats were kept at room temperature and provided with free access to standard chow and tap water.

The rats were divided into 4 groups as follows: sham operation group (n = 3), 2-layer primary repair group (group A, n = 10), primary suture plus HAG application group (group B, n = 10) and primary suture and ePTFE attached with HAG group (group C, n = 10).

For 12 hours before the experiments, the animals were deprived of food but not water. All surgical procedures were performed under ketamine (Ketalar, Parke-Davis Inc., Morris Plains, NJ), 40 mg/kg, anesthesia. A midline abdominal incision was used to expose the second part of the duodenum. All animals underwent grade 3 duodenal injury, which was performed with a cold blade in a star-shape manner, extending up to 75% of the circumference of the duodenum. In addition, wound edges were crushed with pick-up forceps after opening the duodenal lumen. To achieve sufficient bile contact with the wound edges, we waited for 5 minutes. During this period, other sites of the peritoneum were kept from bile contact.

After creating a grade 3 duodenal injury, the defects were repaired. The type of duodenal injury was developed in our experimental pilot study, in which the animals had a 60% mortality rate after 1-layer primary repair (unpublished data). Both the induction of injury and 1-layer repair of the defects were randomly performed by the same surgeons. In the 2-layer primary repair group, defects were closed with continuous 4/0 Vicryl sutures in the inner layer and interrupted 4/0 Vicryl sutures in the outer layer. HAG was applied over the 1-layer continuous suture line in group B. In group C, a 1×0.5 -cm ePTFE graft was laid over the 1-layer continuous suture line with HAG. Grade 3 injury to the second portion of the duodenum without repair was performed in the sham group. Abdomens were closed with interrupted 3/0 silk sutures.

The animals were returned to their cages after the operation and were kept at an ambient temperature of 22°C. Cefazolin, 40 mg/kg per day intramuscularly, was administered to the animals for 5 days after the operation. They were fed with a standard rat diet for a period of 10 days. On the eleventh day, the rats were anesthetized with ketamine, 40 mg/kg, again. The abdomen was opened with a midline incision, and the abdominal cavity was examined with respect to the presence of leakage, abscesses and adhesions. Adhesions were staged using the method described by Mazuji and colleagues.²⁰ Repaired segments of the duodenum were excised and fixed in 10% formalin. After fixation they were cut in a transverse plane at multiple levels, embedded in paraffin and processed for light microscopy. All sections were stained with hematoxylin-eosin dye.

The 10-day survival rates of each group were compared with others using a Fisher–Freeman–Halton test, which is a generalization of Fisher's exact test for a 2×2 contingency table to an $r \times c$ contingency table. We considered p values less than 0.05 to be significant.

Results

Patency of the anastomosis

In the sham group, all the rats died of abdominal sepsis at postoperative days 2, 2 and 3 (Table 1). As ex-

Table 1					
Ten-day survival rates found within groups					
Experimental group	No. of animals alive at 10 d	%			
Sham group	0/3	0			
Group A	5/10	50			
Group B	8/10	80			
Group C	10/10	100			
Group A = 2-layer primary repair; Group B = primary suture and application of histoacryl glue; Group C = primary suture with an expanded polytetrafluoroethylene graft attached with histoacryl glue.					

pected, the primary repair group had a better 10-day survival rate than the sham group, though this was not statistically significant (p = 0.23). In group A, anastomotic leakage was detected in 5 animals, which died at postoperative days 3, 4, 4, 5 and 6. The rats in groups B and C had significantly better survival rates than the sham group (p = 0.03 and p =0.003, respectively). In group B, anastomotic leakage was detected in 2 animals, which died at postoperative days 4 and 5. No leakage or abscess was identified in the animals belonging to group C. Ten-day survival rates in the primary repair group were found to be lower than those in group B, but the difference was not statistically significant (p = 0.35), and were found to be significantly lower than those in group C (p = 0.03). Patency of the anastomosis was excellent except for those animals in groups A and B that died. There was no leakage, abscess or deep surgical site infection seen in the peritoneum. In group C, the ePTFE grafts were found to be in place with strong integrity of the graft into the intestinal serosa on the tenth postoperative day.

Adhesions

In general, adhesions were identified particularly on the right upper quadrant of the abdomen on the tenth day. Dense adhesions were identified in group B. The liver surfaces closest to the graft-applied site could not be separated easily from the duodenum in group C rats. In the primary repair group, adhesion grades were lower than in the other groups (Table 2).

Histopathological examination

On examination of the transverse sections of the duodenum with light microscopy, repair sites in group A showed minimal inflammation and coagulative necrosis. There was normal mucosal and serosal continuity. In group B, repair sites showed moderate foreign body giant cell-type granulomatous inflammation in the serosa. Inflammation intensity was decreased in the muscularis layer and mucosa. Glue appeared as empty spaces in the serosal layer and was observed mainly around the suture line due to partial dissolution during processing (Fig. 1). In group C, empty spaces in the serosa were identified under the ePTFE patch (Fig. 2). Mucosa and muscularis layers were intact and showed minimal inflammation.

Discussion

In recent case series, most parts of the

duodenal wounds are grades 2 and 3. Primary repair or duodenorrhaphy has been used successfully in 70%-85% of duodenal wounds.^{2,4,5} Duodenal fistula constitutes a major cause of morbidity and mortality in patients treated with primary repair. The overall incidence of fistula formation is reported to be 2%-16%.^{3,4,8,21} In a recent series reported by Timaran and colleagues,22 duodenal fistula formation was observed in 3.9% of patients treated with primary closure. The mortality rate in the primary repair group was reported to be 5.3% in this study. In addition, duodenal

Table 2 —

Adhesion grades of the animals

Adhesion grade	Group A n = 5*	Group B <i>n</i> = 8†	Group C <i>n</i> = 10	Total
Grade 1: scattered, filmy adhesions	3	1	2	6
Grade 2: moderately dense, scattered adhesions that are easily separated	1	3	2	6
Grade 3: dense continuous adhesions that are easily separated	1	4	4	9
Grade 4: very dense homogeneous adhesions that are not easily separated	0	0	2	2
Total	5	8	10	23
Group A = 2-layer primary repair; Group B = primary suture and application of histoacryl glue; Group C =				

Group A = 2-layer primary repair; Group B = primary suture and application of histoacryl glue; Group primary suture with an expanded polytetrafluoroethylene graft attached with histoacryl glue. *Five animals in Group A died. Two animals in Group B died.

Ms S

FIG. 1. Photomicrograph on the 10th day of a closure site to which histoacryl glue had been applied. Most of the glue has dissolved during tissue processing, leaving empty spaces (marked with tailed arrows) surrounded by foreign body giant cell-type granulomatous inflammation in the serosa (S). The muscularis layer of the duodenum (Ms) was intact (hematoxylin-eosin stain, original magnification $\times 100$).

fistulas were responsible for the deaths of 3 of 7 patients in the primary closure group.²² Overall mortality rates for duodenal injuries are reported to be between 16% and 20%, and associated injuries are responsible for most of the deaths.^{8,10,22-24} However, it can be clearly said that some problems cannot be resolved in cases treated with primary closure.

For reinforcement of the duodenal suture line, a 2-layer closure technique is accepted generally by most surgeons.^{3,10,21,22,25} The severity of chemical peritonitis and the type of injury (shotgun, stabbing, etc.) affect the fragility of the duodenum and the safety of the closure patency.⁴ We conducted a study to examine the effectiveness of various methods of duodenal closure after we found an injury model that reached 60% mortality after 1-layer primary repair in a previous study. When compared with data from our pilot study, 2-layer repair of the severely damaged duodenum did not decrease the leakage rate from the repair site. Enlargement of the defect or suture line dehiscence follows insufficient 2-layer

repair. In the rat duodenal injury model, 2-layer closure of the defect may compromise the lumen in addition to increasing edema and ischemia of the duodenal wound. Thus, we would like to emphasize the greater possibility of leakage in the 2-layer repair group.

Several procedures have been described to avoid or minimize duodenal suture-line dehiscence. Some authors have advocated the use of a serosal patch of the jejunum to cover the duodenal suture line.25-28 However, McInnis and colleagues²⁹ did not find any significant difference between outcomes for patients with primary closure and patients with serosal patch. On the other hand, some authors have examined the effects of decreased intraluminal pressure on closure healing. Stone and Fabian³⁰ recommended routine duodenal decompression with triple ostomies (gastrostomy, tube duodenostomy and tube jejunostomy) after primary closure. However, other investigators could not validate these observations.7,31 Currently, the utility of duodenal decompression



FIG. 2. Photomicrograph on the 10th day of a closure site to which an expanded polytetrafluoroethylene graft had been attached with histoacryl glue. Empty spaces in the serosa (S) due to histoacryl application are marked with tailed arrows. The serosa layer was completely covered with graft tissue (G). Foreign body giant cell-type granulomatous inflammation was found in the serosa layer. Mucosal (M) and muscularis (Ms) layers of the duodenum were observed in their natural continuity (hematoxylin-eosin stain, original magnification ×32).

techniques is viewed as more restricted. Ozlem and colleagues³² attempted to resolve the problem of duodenal suture-line dehiscence with ePTFE graft implantation over the large duodenal defect in an experimental model. Neither leak, nor obstruction was observed in the graftsecured group. In another study by Astarcioglu and coworkers,19 primary repair, jejunal serosal patch, Rouxen-Y duodenojejunostomy and ePTFE patch repair techniques were compared with others in the model of rat duodenal injury. These repair modalities were associated with better survival rates than no treatment or primary repair techniques. Complete coverage of the ePTFE grafts by neomucosa was observed at about 16 weeks after surgery. Investigators demonstrated that ePTFE graft implantation might be introduced without primary closure in cases with large duodenal defects. However, surgeons are rarely faced with largetissue defects of the duodenum in clinical settings. In addition, the integrity of the primary duodenal closure is a more common demand.

Cyanoacrylate derivatives have been used for approximating of tissue edges in many medical disciplines for a long time.12-16,33-35 Clinical applications started with sutureless skin closure.13,14 Afterwards their usage in vascular surgery, ophthalmology, interventional radiology, neurosurgery, otolaryngology and plastic surgery emerged.^{16,33-37} Histotoxicity of the cyanoacrylate derivatives is characterized by inflammation, tissue necrosis, granuloma formation and wound breakdown.17 The rate of degradation of the compound is affected by the severity of the damage: the slower the degradation rate, the less the histotoxicity.³⁸ For this reason, slowly degrading compounds such as butyl-2-cyanoacrylate (histoacryl) are more commonly preferred. Clinical application sites of cyanoacrylate derivatives on the gastrointestinal tract have been studied since the early seventies. In an experimental study,

Orda and colleagues³⁹ adhered autoplastic peritoneal patches to hepatic and splenic wounds using histoacryl for hemostasis. Histological examinations revealed extensive granulocyte infiltration on the second day. On the seventh day of experiment, no signs of acute reaction in the epithelial and mesenchymal tissues were observed, and complete recapsulation of the liver parenchyma was noted in this study.³⁹ Cekirge and colleagues¹⁶ hindered bile leakage and bleeding after transparenchymal endoprosthesis placement successfully with application of HAG. In addition, recent reports support HAG application for enterocutaneous fistulas.^{15,40} Despite its well-documented beneficial effects in microvascular anastomosis, HAG was not used for reinforcement of the suture line after intestinal anastomosis until recently.33,34 Lemaire and colleagues³³ found this sutureless microvascular anastomosis technique to be useful. Both early and late patency rates of the anastomoses were high. However, minimal histotoxicity of HAG was observed. In particular, vascular anastomoses to which HAG had been applied showed foreign body giant cell-type granulomatous inflammation and large acellular spaces resulting from dissolution of glue in the adventitia at the first week after anastomosis. In addition, in cases with extensive contact of HAG with the vessel wall, coagulative necrosis was observed in the media layer.33 Middleton and coworkers⁴¹ observed an inflammatory reaction in vascular anastomosis performed with HAG that was comparable to suture-only anastomoses in the adventitia. Besides this progress observed in vascular surgery, De La Fuente and colleagues³⁵ repaired a large left ventricular defect with a Teflon patch attached in place with HAG. In spite of the violent pressure on the replaced graft in the ventricle, the patient was discharged in good condition without any complication. Similar microscopic findings were

clearly observed in our experiments after HAG application to those seen on vascular anastomosis. Some questions arise about its utility because of intensive adhesion formation found around the application site in the early postoperative period.

In addition to the HAG application, an ePTFE graft was used in the current study to strengthen the duodenal suture line. Instead of the previous experimental studies in which an ePTFE graft was stitched to the duodenum with polypropylene sutures, the graft was attached over the duodenal suture line with HAG. With this technique, the traumatic effects of suturing on the duodenal wall were minimized. In addition, acellular spaces in the serosa derived from HAG degradation were covered with inert graft. Our results show that the ePTFE graft reinforced the duodenal suture line as a barrier against leakage. Infection secondary to graft tissue is another concern. The stimulation of fibroblast growth is essential for normal healing and tissue integration of biomaterials. The local elevation of proinflammatory mediators dependent on bacterial products has been shown to inhibit fibroblast growth.42 Both experimental and clinical studies have considered the potential tendency of vascular ePTFE grafts to infection.43-45 In the clinical study by Deneuville,⁴⁶ the onset of secondary graft infection and of postoperative graft infection were delayed 7.8 months and 1.8 months, respectively. However, colonization of the vascular ePTFE grafts was demonstrated as early as a week after induced bacteremia.43 In contrast to experiences in vascular surgery, application of ePTFE grafts into the alimentary tract wall seems successful on an experimental basis.^{19,32,47} There were no reported infections secondary to ePTFE grafts. We also did not observe surgical site infection in graft-applied animals. Therefore, excised grafts were not put into culture. But, colonization on the graft

secondary to contamination with gastrointestinal flora is a major concern and should be investigated in future studies.

In conclusion, dense adhesion formation on the operative field after HAG application is a major limiting factor of the technique. However, HAG or ePTFE attachment for the reinforcement of the suture line may be used without reducing the calibre of the lumen after grade 3 duodenal injury. Both techniques are preferable for the difficult duodenal stump closure as well. Further studies of this subject are needed for clinical acceptance of this technique.

Acknowledgements: Statistical analyses were done by Dr. Erdem Karabulut of the Department of Biostatistics, Hacettepe University, School of Medicine Sthhiye, Ankara, Turkey.

Competing interests: None declared.

References

- Asensio JA, Feliciano DV, Britt LD, et al. Management of duodenal injuries. *Curr Probl Surg* 1993;30:1023-93.
- Asensio JA, Stewart BM, Demetriades D. Duodenum. In: Ivatury RR, Cayten CG, editors. *The textbook of penetrating trauma*. Baltimore: Williams & Wilkins; 1996. p. 610-30.
- Ivatury RR, Nallathambi MN, Gaudino J, et al. Penetrating duodenal injuries: analysis of 100 consecutive cases. *Ann Surg* 1985;202:153-8.
- Ivatury RR, Nassoura ZE, Simon RJ, et al. Complex duodenal injuries. Surg Clin North Am 1996;76:797-812.
- Weigelt JA. Duodenal injuries. Surg Clin North Am 1990;70:529-39.
- 6. Lucas CE, Ledgerwood AM. Factors influencing outcome after blunt duodenal injury. *J Trauma* 1975;15:839-44.
- Ivatury RR, Gaudino J, Ascer E, et al. Treatment of penetrating duodenal injuries: primary repair vs. repair with decompressive enterostomy/serosal patch. J Trauma 1985;25:337-41.
- 8. Cogbill TH, Moore EE, Feliciano DV, et al. Conservative management of duodenal

Saygun et al

trauma: a multicenter perspective. J Trauma 1990;30:1469-75.

- Moore EE, Cogbill TH, Malangoni MA, et al. Organ injury scaling. II: Pancreas, duodenum, small bowel, colon and rectum. *J Trauma* 1990;30:1427-9.
- Carrillo EH, Richardson JD, Miller FB. Evolution in the management of duodenal injuries. *J Trauma* 1996;40:1037-46.
- Degiannis E, Boffard K. Duodenal injuries. Br J Surg 2000;87:1473-9.
- Coover H, Joyner F, Shearer N, et al. Chemistry and performance of cyanoacrylate adhesives. J Soc Plast Eng 1959;15:5-8.
- Kosko PI. Upper lid blepharoplasty: skin closure achieved with butyl-2-cyanoacrylate. *Ophthalmic Surg* 1981;12:424-5.
- Ellis DAF, Shaikh A. The ideal tissue adhesive in facial plastic and reconstructive surgery. J Otolaryngol 1990;19:68-72.
- Dalton D, Woods S. Successful endoscopic treatment of enterocutaneous fistulas by histoacryl glue. *Aust N Z J Surg* 2000;70:749-50.
- Cekirge S, Akhan O, Ozmen M, et al. Malignant biliary obstruction complicated by ascites: closure of the transhepatic tract with cyanoacrylate glue after placement of an endoprosthesis. *Cardiovase Intervent Radiol* 1997;20:228-31.
- DeBono R. A simple, inexpensive method for precise application of cyanoacrylate tissue adhesive. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 1997; 100:447-50.
- Oh DS, Manning MM, Emmanuel J, et al. Repair of full-thickness defects in alimentary tract wall with patches of expanded polytetrafluoroethylene. *Ann Surg* 2002;235:708-11.
- Astarcioglu H, Kocdor MA, Sokmen S, et al. Comparison of different surgical repairs in the treatment of experimental duodenal injuries. *Am J Surg* 2001;181:309-12.
- Mazuji MK, Kalambaheti K, Pawar B. Prevention of adhesions with polyvinylprolidone. *Arch Surg* 1964;89:1011-4.
- Nassoura ZE, Ivatury RR, Simon RJ, et al. A prospective reappraisal of primary repair of penetrating duodenal injuries. *Am Surg* 1994;60:35-8.
- 22. Timaran CH, Martinez O, Ospina JA.

Prognostic factors and management of civilian penetrating duodenal trauma. J Trauma 1999;47:330-5.

- 23. Martin TD, Feliciano DV, Mattox KL, et al. Severe duodenal injuries: treatment with pyloric exclusion and gastrojejunostomy. *Arch Surg* 1983;118:631-5.
- Feliciano DV, Martin DT, Cruse PA, et al. Management of combined pancreatoduodenal injuries. *Ann Surg* 1987;205:673-80.
- Corley RD, Norcross WJ, Shoemaker WC. Traumatic injuries of the duodenum: a report of 98 patients. *Ann Surg* 1975; 181:92-8.
- Flint LM Jr, McCoy M, Richardson JD, et al. Duodenal injury: analysis of common misconceptions in diagnosis and treatment. *Ann Surg* 1980;191:697-701.
- Ghuman SS, Pathak VB, McGovern PJ Jr, et al. Management and complications of duodenal injuries. *Am Surg* 1982;48:109-13.
- Smith AD Jr. Woolverton WC, Weichert RF 3rd, et al. Operative management of pancreatic and duodenal injuries. J Trauma 1971;11:570-6.
- 29. McInnis WD, Aust JB, Cruz AB, et al. Traumatic injuries of the duodenum: a comparison of 1° closure and the jejunal patch. *J Trauma* 1975;15:847-53.
- Stone HH, Fabian TC. Management of duodenal wounds. J Trauma 1979;19: 334-9.
- Snyder WH III, Weigelt JA, Watkins WL, et al. The surgical management of the duodenal trauma. Precepts based on a review of 247 cases. *Arch Surg* 1980;115:422-9.
- 32. Ozlem N, Erdogan B, Gültekin S, et al. Repairing great duodenal defects in rabbits by ePTFE patch. *Acta Chir Belg* 1999;99:17-21.
- Lemaire D, Mongeau J, Dorion D. Microvascular anastomosis using histoacryl glue and an intravascular soluble stent. J Otolaryngol 2000;29:199-205.
- Hall WW, Wrye SW, Banducci DR, et al. Microvascular anastomosis using 2-octyl cyanoacrylate in the rat femoral artery. *Ann Plast Surg* 2000;44:508-11.
- 35. De La Fuente A, Agudo O, Sanchez R, et al. Repair of left ventricular rupture after mitral valve replacement: use of a teflon patch and glue. *Ann Thorac Surg*

1999;67:1802-3.

- Hirst LW, Stark WJ, Jensen AD. Tissue adhesives: new perspectives in corneal perforation. *Ophthalmic Surg* 1979;10:58-64.
- Maxwell JA, Goldware SI. Use of tissue adhesives in the surgical treatment of cerebrospinal fluid leaks: experience with isobutyl-2-cyanoacrylate in 12 cases. J Neurosurg 1973;39:332-6.
- Toriumi DM, Raslan WF, Friedman M, et al. Histotoxicity of cyanoacrylate tissue adhesives: a comparative study. *Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 1990;116:546-50.
- 39. Orda R, Wiznitzer T, Goldberg GM, et al. Repair of hepatic and splenic injuries by autoplastic peritoneal patches and butyl-2cyanoacrylate monomer. An experimental study. J Surg Res 1974;17:365-74.
- Santos F, Campos J, Freire J, et al. Enterocutaneous fistulas: an unusual solution. *Hepatogastroenterology* 1997;44:1085-9.
- Middleton WG, Mattews W, Chiasson DA. Histoacryl glue in microvascular surgery. J Otolaryngol 1991;20:363-6.
- Henke PK, Bergamini TM, Watson AL, et al. Bacterial products primarily mediate fibroblast inhibition in biomaterial infection. J Surg Res 1998;74:17-22.
- 43. Koskas F, Goeau-Brissonniere O, Nicolas MH, et al. Arteries from human beings are less infectible by *Staphylococcus aureus* than polytetrafluoroethylene in an aortic dog model. *J Vasc Surg* 1996;23:472-6.
- 44. Badylak SF, Coffey AC, Lantz GC, et al. Comparison of the resistance to infection of intestinal submucosa arterial autografts versus polytetrafluoroethylene arterial prostheses in a dog model. *J Vasc Surg* 1994;19:465-72.
- 45. Polterauer P, Prager M, Holzenbein T, et al. Dacron versus polytetrafluoroethylene for Y-aortic bifurcation grafts: a six year prospective, randomized trial. *Surgery* 1992;111:626-33.
- Deneuville M. Infection of PTFE grafts used to create arteriovenous fistulas for hemodialysis access. *Ann Vasc Surg* 2000; 14:473-9.
- 47. Oh DS, Manning MM, Emmanuel J, et al. Repair of full-thickness defects in alimentary tract wall with patches of expanded polytetrafluoroethylene. *Ann Surg* 2002;235:708-12.