Morbidity and mortality following pelvic ramus fractures in an older Atlantic Canadian cohort

Chris B. Hamilton, MD, MSc John D. Harnett, MB N. Craig Stone, MD, MSc Andrew J. Furey, MD, MSc

This work was presented at the Canadian Orthopaedic Association annual meeting, June 20–22, 2013, Winnipeg, Man., and the International Society for Fracture Repair 14th Biennial Conference, May 14–17, 2014, New York, NY.

Accepted Dec. 17, 2018; Published online June 1, 2019

Correspondence to:

A. Furey Discipline of Surgery Faculty of Medicine Memorial University of Newfoundland Rm 1380, Health Sciences Centre 300 Prince Philip Drive St. John's NL A1B 3V6 chamilton@mun.ca

DOI: 10.1503/cjs.011518

Background: Pelvic ramus fractures in older patients are associated with substantial morbidity and mortality. There is a paucity of literature on fractures of the pelvis in this age group. The purpose of this study was to report mortality rates following such injuries. In addition, we aimed to describe and quantify the important resultant morbidity in this vulnerable population.

Methods: We performed a retrospective chart review of all low-energy pelvic ramus fractures in patients more than age 60 years that occurred between January 2000 and December 2005. Data on survival, hospital length of stay, ambulatory status and place of residence were recorded. For comparison, we calculated the mortality rate for a surrogate age- and sex-matched group using Statistics Canada survival data for use as an uninjured control group.

Results: We identified 43 patients (32 women [74%]; mean age 79.4 yr) with isolated low-energy pelvic ramus fractures over the study period. The 1- and 5-year mortality rates were 16.3% (95% confidence interval [CI] 7.8%–30.3%) and 58.1% (95% CI 43.3%–71.6%), respectively, both significantly higher than the point estimates for the control group (6.6% and 31.3%, respectively). Following injury, 14/39 patients (36%) permanently required increased ambulatory aids, and 8 (20%) required a permanent increase in everyday level of care.

Conclusion: The results suggest that there may be increased mortality and morbidity following low-energy pattern pelvic ramus fractures in an older population compared to age- and sex-matched uninjured control subjects.

Contexte : Les fractures du bassin chez les personnes âgées sont associées à une morbidité et une mortalité substantielles. La littérature sur les fractures du bassin dans ce groupe d'âge est peu abondante. Le but de cette étude était donc de faire état des taux de mortalité suite à de telles blessures. Nous avons aussi voulu décrire et quantifier l'importante morbidité qui en résulte chez cette population vulnérable.

Méhodes : Nous avons effectué une revue rétrospective de tous les cas de fractures du bassin consécutives à un traumatisme de faible énergie chez des patients de plus de 60 ans survenues entre janvier 2000 et décembre 2005. Les données de survie, la durée de l'hospitalisation, le statut ambulatoire et le lieu de résidence ont été notées. À des fins de comparaison, nous avons calculé le taux de mortalité pour un groupe témoin indemme assorti selon l'âge et le sexe en nous servant des données de survie de Statistique Canada.

Résultats : Nous avons recensé 43 patients (32 femmes [74%]; âge moyen 79,4 ans) porteurs de fractures du bassin isolées consécutives à un traumatisme de faible énergie pour la période de l'étude. Les taux de mortalité à 1 an et à 5 ans ont été de 16,3% (intervalle confiance [IC] de 95% 7,8%–30,3%) et 58,1% (IC de 95% 43,3% –71,6%), respectivement, tous deux significativement plus élevés que les estimations ponctuelles pour le groupe témoin (6,6% et 31,3%, respectivement). Après le traumatisme, 14 patients sur 39 (36%) ont eu besoin de façon permanente et croissante de dispositifs d'aide à la marche et 8 (20%) ont eu besoin de façon permanente d'un niveau de soins quotidiens accru.

Conclusion : Les résultats donnent à penser que la mortalité et la morbidité pourraient être plus marquées après une fracture de la hanche consécutive à un traumatisme de faible énergie chez la population âgée, comparativement à des témoins assortis selon l'âge et le sexe. ow-energy osteoporotic fractures about the pelvic ring are greatly underrepresented in the current literature despite occurring with much greater frequency than high-energy pelvic injuries. The overall incidence of pelvic fractures is estimated to be 20–37/ 100 000 person-years; this number drastically increases among patients older than 60 years old, to 92/ 100 000 person-years, with another very large increase among those aged older than 85, to 446/100 000 personyears.^{1–3}

Krappinger and colleagues⁴ pooled data from 6 retrospective studies of pelvic ramus fractures (n = 557) to yield a 1-year mortality rate of 16.3%.⁴⁻¹¹ The 6 studies, however, formed a very heterogeneous group. Two of the studies were from level 1 trauma centres involving patients who had a trauma team activation and/or had been involved in a motor vehicle accident,^{6,11} as opposed to the typical ground-level fall of most osteoporotic injuries. Other studies involved cohorts of varying ages (≥ 55 yr, > 60 yr,^{8,12} and 17–97 yr⁷).

In addition to the mortality associated with a pelvic fracture, there is substantial morbidity following this injury. The general heterogeneity of pelvic fractures combined with the gross underrepresentation of low-energy injuries in the literature has yielded few studies examining morbidity outcomes. The number of patients requiring ambulatory aids is a useful objective measure to assess postinjury mobility that is quite variably reported in the current literature. The available studies suggest that 39%-92% of patients maintain their prefracture level of mobility at 1 year.^{7,8,12,13} Another important metric in evaluating the impact of these injuries is hospital length of stay and discharge disposition. The mean length of hospital stay following an osteoporotic pelvic ring injury is reported from 0 to 45 days.^{2,6–8,14–17} There is similar variability in discharge disposition, with 37%-95% of patients returning home.^{2,7,8,14–17} The purpose of this study was to examine mortality following low-energy osteoporotic fractures of the pelvic ring and to determine their effect on ambulation and discharge disposition.

METHODS

Study design

We studied a retrospective cohort of all patients with pelvic ring injuries who presented in a health board region in eastern Newfoundland between January 2000 and December 2005. Following approval from the provincial research ethics authority, we used a health information coding database to identify all patients more than 60 years old with fractures about the pelvis. All injuries occurred during the 5-year period and were followed for 5 years.

Participants

We identified all patients older than 60 years of age with any type of fracture about the pelvis using a regional database in our health board region. The imaging, primarily plain film radiographs, was examined for each patient by an orthopedic surgery resident (C.B.H.) to delineate fracture pattern. The inclusion criterion was isolated pelvic ramus fracture with or without anterior compression fracture of the sacrum. Patients were excluded if they had concomitant fractures of the lower extremity, fracture involving the acetabulum or pelvic injury requiring surgical fixation.

All patients were treated nonoperatively with standard pain control and ambulation as tolerated with physiotherapy.

Variables

We collected all variables and outcome measures retrospectively from each patient's electronic and paper health records. The date of death was available for all patients who had died during the study period in their electronic medical record or in some cases by contacting the office of the local medical examiner. Preinjury ambulatory and residency status were documented by social workers or physical or occupational therapists at the time of injury. We determined postinjury ambulatory and residency status by combining information on discharge with follow-up clinic visits with orthopedic and geriatric medicine consultants. We obtained the length of stay in hospital and mechanism of injury from the patient's charts.

Using the date of injury and date of death, we calculated 1- and 5-year mortality rates. We then calculated 95% confidence intervals (CIs) using the adjusted Wald method and used them for comparison.

We calculated the mortality rate for a surrogate control group (age- and sex-matched uninjured cohort) using Statistics Canada census data. Using the methodology of Finkelstein and colleagues,¹⁸ we generated general population yearly survival rates to match the age and sex of those in our cohort from Statistics Canada life table data for Newfoundland and Labrador for 2009–2011¹⁹ (see Appendix 1, available at canjsurg.ca/011518-a1, for details of calculations).

RESULTS

We identified 80 fractures about the pelvis in patients older than 60 years of age over the study period, of whom 43 had isolated low-energy osteoporotic pelvic ring injuries. The remaining 37 patients were excluded for concomitant lower extremity fractures (17 patients), fractures involving the acetabulum (14) and concomitant hip fractures (6). Complete data for the study variables were available for all 43 included patients. The mean patient age was 79.4 (standard deviation [SD] 9.2) years. The population was predominantly female (32 [74%]). All patients were admitted to hospital following their injury. The average length of stay in hospital or a rehabilitation facility was 38.1 (SD 38.0) days.

The 1- and 5-year mortality rates following injury in our population were 16.3% (95% CI 7.8%–30.3%) and 58.1% (95% CI 43.3%–71.6%), respectively. There were 4 in-hospital deaths (9%). Using census data from Statistics Canada for Newfoundland and Labrador, we estimated an age- and sex-matched uninjured population to have 1-, 2-, 3-, 4- and 5-year mortality rates of 6.6%, 12.9%, 19.1%, 25.3% and 31.3%, respectively. The improved survival of the general population relative to the study population is graphically illustrated in a survival curve (Fig. 1).

Following their injury, just over one-third of patients (14/39 [36%]) (95% CI 22.7%–51.6%) permanently required increased ambulatory aids. They went from walking independently to using a cane/walker (12 [31%]) or from ambulatory with assistance to being primarily confined to a wheelchair (2 [5%]).

There was a permanent increase in the everyday level of care required following injury in 8 patients (20%) (95% CI 10.5%–35.8%). These patients were previously living independently and subsequently had to move into an assisted-living or nursing facility (4 [10%]), or previously were residents of a low-level assisted-living facility and were required to move to a higher-level nursing home (4 [10%]).

DISCUSSION

Low-energy fractures about the pelvic ring are a grossly underrepresented group of injuries in the literature. Ade-



Fig. 1. Survival curve of study population (lower line) compared to age- and sex-matched Newfoundland and Labrador population (upper line).

quately delineating the outcomes following these injuries will be an integral part of targeting and improving management options.

We found the 1- and 5-year mortality rates in our study population to be sizable, at 16.3% and 58.1%, respectively. These values, which are consistent with the current available literature, would certainly have us believe that this "stable" pelvic injury is not inconsequential. Bible and colleagues²⁰ reported a 1-year mortality rate of 12.9% among patients more than 60 years old with pelvic ring injuries. Their population was slightly younger than ours (mean age 73.1 yr v. 79.4 yr), and only 23% of injuries were subsequent to a ground-level fall, with the remaining patients incurring higher-energy injuries. With only 53% of pelvic fractures classified as lateral compression type 1, the overall heterogeneity of the injury patterns in that study do not allow for effective comparison with the low-energy fractures in our study.

Studer and colleagues²¹ studied a more homogeneous population, comprising patients older than 65 years with low-energy pelvic ring injuries. With a slightly older population (mean age 83.5 yr), they documented a 1-year mortality rate of 18.5%, similar to that in our study.

In our study and others, the 1-year mortality rate was far greater than our age- and sex-matched estimate of 6.6% generated with Statistics Canada census data. The increased mortality rate surrounding these injuries can be grossly explained by 1 of 2 rationales or some combination thereof: the injury causes such a great physiologic insult that the patient is ultimately unable to recover, or the low-energy pelvic fracture is simply a surrogate marker of frailty in patients who would soon succumb to failure of other organ systems independent of their injury.

Comparison to the extensive literature on hip fracture mortality is relevant, as the patient population is similar. In hip fractures, most sources would cite a 1-year mortality rate of about 20%, with reported values ranging from 19% to 50%.^{3,10,11,22}

Our findings document substantial morbidity following pelvic ramus fractures as data were available for all patients except those who died in hospital. The proportion of patients requiring increased ambulatory aids was 36%. This value closely matches that for older patients in 3 similar studies showing that 36%–40% of patients had a deterioration in their ambulatory status 1 year following pelvic fracture.^{7,12,13} Koval and colleagues⁸ reported that 8% of patients had deterioration in ambulatory status 1 year after pubic ramus fracture; however, 1-year follow-up data were available for only 60% of patients initially enrolled.

Mobility following fractures of the proximal femur is an often used parameter to compare varying surgical options. In studies comparing arthroplasty versus internal fixation for femoral neck fractures, it was determined that there was no difference in the proportion of patients regaining previous levels of mobility, with an overall rate of 46%.^{22–25} This is substantially lower than the 64% of patients in our study who regained their previous level of mobility.

The similarity in mortality rate between pelvic ramus fractures and proximal femur fractures is likely largely related to the similarly frail populations that incur these 2 types of injury. The similarity ends, however, when the injuries themselves are taken into consideration. A patient with a proximal femur fracture is unlikely to ambulate again without surgical intervention owing to the gross mechanical instability of the lower limb following this injury. In contrast, the accepted treatment of pelvic ramus fractures involves mobilizing the patient as tolerated with pain control. The difference in mechanical stability immediately following injury between these fractures likely explains the improved rates of regained mobility in our pelvic fracture population.

The permanent level of care required following injury increased in 20% of our patients. Similar proportions of patients eventually returning to their original residences are reported in the literature (75%–95%).^{7,8,13} In the study by Studer and colleagues,²¹ the proportion of patients who returned home following injury was only 65%, compared to 88% in our study.

In determining targets for intervention to improve outcomes in patients with pelvic ramus fractures, we must organize our treatment based on what is driving these outcomes. In patients in whom the fracture is primarily a marker of frailty, providing access to improved multidisciplinary geriatric care may improve outcomes. Patients in whom the primary issue is the length of rehabilitation may benefit from interventions designed to shorten this process. There are several suggested surgical treatment options in studies that amount to little more than small case studies/series at present. These range from full open operative fixation interventions, to percutaneous hardware insertion, to percutaneous injection of polymethylmethacrylate.²⁶⁻²⁸ Walker and colleagues²⁹ recently used percutaneous transiliactranssacral screws in 8 patients with sacral fragility lateral compression type 1 pelvic injuries and reported statistically significant improvements in pain scores and the proportion discharged home compared to their nonstandardized control group.

Limitations

The limitations of our study include the inherent issues in a retrospective chart review study. This dictates that the data lack the known homogeneity and potential additional information of a data set collected prospectively. In addition, the small sample limits the power of the study, resulting in wide CIs and large SDs.

CONCLUSION

This study confirms the considerable mortality following low-energy injuries to the pelvic ring and is consistent with previously published literature. The mortality rate approaches that among patients with hip fractures, a group that is demographically similar but has been researched more extensively. Importantly, the substantial morbidity documented in the current study highlights the major impact of this group of fractures. This information can be useful in counselling patients and their families following these injuries and in directing future study. Further epidemiological research, ideally with prospective data, should further elucidate targets for intervention in addition to fully characterizing the resource and economic burden that these injuries have on health care systems.

Affiliations: From the Faculty of Medicine, Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John's, NL (Hamilton, Harnett, Stone, Furey).

Competing interests: None declared.

Contributors: C. Hamilton and A. Furey designed the study. C. Hamilton acquired the data, which all authors analyzed. C. Hamilton wrote the article, which all authors reviewed and approved for publication.

References

- Kannus P, Palvanen M, Niemi S, et al. Epidemiology of osteoporotic pelvic fractures in elderly people in Finland: sharp increase in 1970– 1997 and alarming projections for the new millennium. Osteoporos Int 2000;11:443-8.
- Melton LJ 3rd, Sampson JM, Morrey BF, et al. Epidemiologic features of pelvic fractures. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 1981;155:43-7.
- Ragnarsson B, Jacobsson B. Epidemiology of pelvic fractures in a Swedish county. Acta Orthop Scand 1992;63:297-300.
- Krappinger D, Kammerlander C, Hak DJ, et al. Low-energy osteoporotic pelvic fractures. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 2010;130:1167-75.
- Alost T, Waldrop RD. Profile of geriatric pelvic fractures presenting to the emergency department. Am J Emerg Med 1997;15:576-8.
- Dechert TA, Duane TM, Frykberg BP, et al. Elderly patients with pelvic fracture: interventions and outcomes. *Am Surg* 2009;75:291-5.
- Hill RM, Robinson CM, Keating JF. Fractures of the pubic rami. Epidemiology and five-year survival. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 2001;83:1141-4.
- Koval KJ, Aharonoff GB, Schwartz MC, et al. Pubic rami fracture: a benign pelvic injury? *J Orthop Trauma* 1997;11:7-9.
- Lau T, Leung F. Occult posterior pelvic ring fractures in elderly patients with osteoporotic pubic rami fractures. *J Orthop Surg (Hong Kong)* 2010;18:153-7.
- Morris RO, Sonibare A, Green DJ, et al. Closed pelvic fractures: characteristics and outcomes in older patients admitted to medical and geriatric wards. *Postgrad Med J* 2000;76:646-50.
- O'Brien DP, Luchette FA, Pereira SJ, et al. Pelvic fracture in the elderly is associated with increased mortality. *Surgery* 2002;132:710-4, discussion 714-5.
- Leung WY, Ban CM, Lam JJ, et al. Prognosis of acute pelvic fractures in elderly patients: retrospective study. *Hong Kong Med J* 2001; 7:139-45.
- Taillandier J, Langue F, Alemanni M, et al. Mortality and functional outcomes of pelvic insufficiency fractures in older patients. *Joint Bone Spine* 2003;70:287-9.
- Alnaib M, Waters S, Shanshal Y, et al. Combined public rami and sacral osteoporotic fractures: a prospective study. *J Orthop Traumatol* 2012;13:97-103.

RECHERCHE

- Breuil V, Roux CH, Testa J, et al. Outcome of osteoporotic pelvic fractures: an underestimated severity. Survey of 60 cases. *Joint Bone Spine* 2008;75:585-8.
- van Dijk WA, Poeze M, van Helden SH, et al. Ten-year mortality among hospitalised patients with fractures of the pubic rami. *Injury* 2010;41:411-4.
- Mears SC, Berry DJ. Outcomes of displaced and nondisplaced pelvic and sacral fractures in elderly adults. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2011;59:1309-12.
- Finkelstein DM, Muzikansky A, Schoenfeld DA. Comparing survival of a sample to that of a standard population. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2003; 95:1434-9.
- Life tables, Canada, provinces and territories, 2009 to 2011. In: *Life tables, Canada, provinces and territories*. Ottawa: Statistics Canada; 2013. Cat no 84-537-X.
- Bible JE, Kadakia RJ, Wegner A, et al. One-year mortality after isolated pelvic fractures with posterior ring involvement in elderly patients. *Orthopedics* 2013;36:760-4.
- Studer P, Suhm N, Zappe B, et al. Pubic rami fractures in the elderly — A neglected injury? *Swiss Med Wkly* 2013;143:w13859.
- 22. Parker MJ, Khan RJK, Crawford J, et al. Hemiarthroplasty versus internal fixation for displaced intracapsular hip fractures in the elderly. A randomised trial of 455 patients. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 2002; 84:1150-5.

- 23. Rogmark C, Carlsson A, Johnell O, et al. A prospective randomised trial of internal fixation versus arthroplasty for displaced fractures of the neck of the femur. Functional outcome for 450 patients at two years. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 2002;84:183-8.
- Skinner P, Riley D, Ellery J, et al. Displaced subcapital fractures of the femur: a prospective randomized comparison of internal fixation, hemiarthroplasty and total hip replacement. *Injury* 1989;20:291-3.
- Tidermark J, Zethraeus N, Svensson O, et al. Quality of life related to fracture displacement among elderly patients with femoral neck fractures treated with internal fixation. *J Orthop Trauma* 2002;16:34-8.
- Beall DP, D'Souza SL, Costello RF, et al. Percutaneous augmentation of the superior pubic ramus with polymethyl methacrylate: treatment of acute traumatic and chronic insufficiency fractures. *Skeletal Radiol* 2007;36:979-83.
- Routt ML, Simonian PT, Grujic L. The retrograde medullary superior pubic ramus screw for the treatment of anterior pelvic ring disruptions: a new technique. *J Orthop Trauma* 1995;9:35-44.
- Starr AJ, Nakatani T, Reinert CM, et al. Superior pubic ramus fractures fixed with percutaneous screws: What predicts fixation failure? *J Orthop Trauma* 2008;22:81-7.
- Walker JB, Mitchell SM, Karr SD, et al. Percutaneous transiliactranssacral screw fixation of sacral fragility fractures improves pain, ambulation, and rate of disposition to home. *J Orthop Trauma* 2018; 32:452-6.

Canadian Journal of Surgery

We believe in open access to research

To ensure continued worldwide free access to all *CFS* content, articles submitted for publication are subject to a submission fee of \$100 (Canadian funds). Submission fees are waived for corresponding authors affil-

iated with CJS sponsors. Accepted Research and Review articles are subject to a publication fee of \$800, and Commentaries and Discussions are subject to a publication fee of \$600, payable on acceptance in Canadian funds. Corresponding authors affiliated with CJS sponsors receive a \$100 discount on publication fees.

Benefits of open access

- For researchers and institutions: increased visibility, usage and impact for their work
- For government: a better return on investment for funding research
- For society: efficient, effective patient care resulting in better outcomes

CJS articles are available free of charge on the journal website (canjsurg.ca) and in PubMed Central.