Topical tranexamic acid in hip fractures: a randomized, placebo-controlled double-blinded study

**Background:** Tranexamic acid (TXA) has been shown to reduce perioperative blood loss in elective orthopedic surgery. The safety of intravenous TXA in nonelective hip fracture surgery is uncertain. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the efficacy and safety of topical TXA in hip fracture surgery.

**Methods:** Adult patients presenting to a community hospital with a hip fracture requiring surgery were randomly assigned to receive topical TXA or placebo. Hemoglobin and troponin I levels were measured preoperatively and on postoperative days 1, 2 and 3. All postoperative blood transfusions were recorded. Complications, including acute coronary syndrome (ACS), venous thromboembolism (VTE), cerebrovascular accidents (CVA), surgical site infections (SSI) and 90-day mortality, were recorded.

**Results:** Data were analyzed for 65 patients (31 in the TXA group, 34 in the control group). Hemoglobin level was significantly higher on postoperative days 1 and 2 in the TXA group than in the control group. The difference in hemoglobin level between the groups was not statistically significant by postoperative day 3. Significantly fewer units of packed red blood cells were transfused in the TXA group (2 units v. 8 units); however, 2 of the units in the control group were given intraoperatively, and when these were excluded the difference was not significant. The incidence of ACS, CVA, VTE, SSI, transfusion and all-cause mortality at 90 days did not differ significantly between the groups.

**Conclusion:** Topical TXA reduces early postoperative blood loss after hip fracture surgery without increased patient risk. **Trial registration:** Clinicaltrials.gov, no. NCT02993341.
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Approximately 26,000 Canadians experience hip fractures each year,\(^1\) with just under 4,500 cases per year requiring hemiarthroplasty.\(^2\) We know from multiple studies that hip fractures carry a substantial risk of perioperative and postoperative mortality of approximately 10% at 1 month and 25% at 1 year.\(^3\) Preoperative anemia is common, as is perioperative blood loss, leading to allogeneic blood transfusion rates of 40%–59% in this population.\(^7\)–\(^9\) Blood transfusions are not a benign treatment; infection, length of hospital stay and mortality are all increased by exposure to allogeneic blood.\(^10\) Further, postoperative anemia is felt to increase cardiac strain and reduce early mobility, both undesirable in the frail elderly postoperatively. Mechanisms by which to reduce perioperative blood loss are therefore of potential financial and clinical benefit in the hip fracture population. Tranexamic acid (TXA) is an inexpensive medication (institutional cost of $4.69/g at the time of manuscript submission). The use of intravenous TXA reduces blood loss in hip fracture surgery, although it has been associated with higher morbidity and mortality in some studies.\(^11\)–\(^13\) Topical TXA has been shown to reduce blood loss following total joint arthroplasty,\(^14\)–\(^17\) and systemic TXA levels are low with topical TXA administration compared with intravenous administration,\(^18\) suggesting that topical TXA is a safe alternative to intravenous TXA in hip fracture surgery. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the efficacy and safety of topical TXA with hip fracture surgery.

**Methods**

Consecutive patients presenting to our community hospital between November 2017 and February 2019 with hip fracture requiring surgery were invited to participate in the study. Inclusion criteria were hip fracture requiring surgery, age older than 18 years and provision of written informed consent by the patient or a surrogate decision-maker. Exclusion criteria were documented renal failure (glomerular filtration rate < 30 mL/min per 1.73 m\(^2\)), allergy to TXA, acute coronary syndrome (ACS) within 6 months of fracture, any history of deep vein thrombosis (DVT) or venous thromboembolism (VTE), current hormone replacement therapy, acquired disturbance of colour vision, refusal of allogeneic blood or blood products, use of anticoagulants within 5 days of fracture, preexisting fibrinolytic disorder or known coagulopathy (including platelet count below 150 × 10^9/L), gross hematuria, pregnancy or lactation, or major psychiatric disorder that might interfere with study participation. The Research Ethics Board of The Group Health Centre and Sault Area Hospital and Health Canada granted approval of this study. Written informed consent was obtained from all study participants or their proxy decision-maker. The study was registered in the public registry ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT02993341).

All patients had baseline hemoglobin and troponin I levels measured. Participants were randomly allocated to a treatment group using Graphpad Prism, which creates an equally divided treatment algorithm generated using the time of day to create the first random number. The pharmacy technician was privy to the treatment allocation, and treatment group allocation was maintained in a secure binder in the pharmacy. The medication was delivered to the operating theatre with the patient’s name, participant number and date, without identifying the medication or placebo. The patient, surgeon, statistician and clinical staff entering data were not aware of treatment group allocation until all data were tabulated.

Treatment allocation involved either 3 g TXA in 50 mL saline, or 50 mL saline control, applied topically at the time of surgery. With open procedures (i.e., hemiarthroplasty), the solution was applied directly to the surgical wound and evacuated by suction after 3 minutes with no further wound irrigation. For percutaneous surgery (i.e., using cannulated screws, trochanteric fixation nails or intramedullary nails), a 13-cm 13-gauge Bard TruGuide coaxial biopsy needle (Becton Dickinson and Co.) was used to inject the fracture site via fluoroscopic guidance, and effort was made to aspirate the fluid after 3 minutes.

**Outcome measures**

Primary outcome measures included change in hemoglobin level from baseline on days 1, 2 and 3 postoperatively, as well as transfusion of allogeneic blood. Blood transfusion triggers were established a priori, with 1 unit of packed red blood cells (pRBC) given to patients with a hemoglobin value less than 70 g/L, or a hemoglobin value less than 80 g/L with symptoms of hypoperfusion (when the patient reported lightheadedness, chest pain or palpitations, experienced syncope or presyncope or sustained a myocardial infarction). Secondary outcome measures included all-cause mortality at 90 days, incidence of VTE (symptomatic DVT proven by ultrasonography or pulmonary embolism diagnosed by computed tomographic angiography) at 30 days and perioperative complication rate (postoperative surgical site infection, ACS based on elevation of troponin I > 0.04, or cerebrovascular accident [CVA]) at 30 days. Standard postoperative thromboprophylaxis was used for all patients as per institutional protocol (tinzaparin subcutaneously starting on postoperative day 1).

**Power calculation**

Power calculations were performed with primary and secondary outcome measures in mind. Assuming an \(E450\) Can J Surg/J Can chir 2021;64(4)
α error of 0.05, and 1 minus β of 0.80, we hypothesized that the average change in hemoglobin level for patients undergoing hip fracture surgery would be 40 g/L (standard deviation [SD] 10 g/L).19 With the assumption that a 20% reduction in blood loss would be clinically significant (i.e., 8 g/L), 26 patients would be required in each group to detect a change. Given that ACS is 1 of the most common major complications of hip fracture surgery, with an anticipated incidence of 35% (SD 7%),20 we felt that a 10% change in the incidence of ACS would be clinically significant. Assuming the same α and β errors, 33 patients would be required in each group to detect a 10% change in cardiac complications.

**Statistical analysis**

Outcome assessment was performed according to intention-to-treat analysis. Continuous variables were summarized using means and ranges, while categorical variables were summarized using counts and proportions. The primary outcome was analyzed using repeated-measures multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA), with baseline hemoglobin included as a covariate in the model. An identity contrast within the MANOVA platform was used to examine differences in hemoglobin (adjusted for baseline hemoglobin) between the groups at postoperative days 1, 2 and 3. The difference in the proportion of patients requiring a transfusion between the groups was analyzed using the Fisher exact test. The difference in total number of units of packed red blood cells transfused between the groups during the study was analyzed using Poisson regression to generate a p value from the χ² distribution. A post hoc sensitivity analysis on the primary outcome was performed using repeated-measures MANOVA with baseline hemoglobin, baseline estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) and number of smokers included as covariates, because there was a higher proportion of smokers and a higher eGFR in the TXA group at baseline than in the control group. Secondary outcomes were analyzed using the Fisher exact test. All statistical analyses were preformed using JMP version 14.3.0 (SAS) using 2-tailed tests with α set to 0.05.

**RESULTS**

**Participants**

Of the 188 patients who were invited to participate in the study, 74 met the inclusion criteria. Nine of these patients were later excluded because it was realized that they met exclusion criteria (2 patients who had a history of DVT, 1 patient who had renal insufficiency, 3 patients who had ACS preoperatively, 2 patients for whom the medication was not delivered to the operating theatre by case end, and 1 patient who later declined surgery). These data are summarized in Figure 1.

The characteristics of the patients included in the analysis are presented in Table 1. The baseline characteristics were similar between the groups, with the exception of renal function (higher GFR in the TXA group) and smoking status (41.9% of the TXA group were smokers compared with 14.7% of the control group). None of the participants in the study were younger than 55 years of age, and none were high-energy polytrauma patients.

**Primary outcomes measures**

After controlling for baseline hemoglobin levels, we observed statistically significant differences in hemoglobin levels between the groups on postoperative day 1 of 7.09 g/L (95% confidence interval [CI] 2.22 to 11.96 g/L, p = 0.005) and on postoperative day 2 of 9.04 g/L (95% CI 3.53 to 14.55 g/L, p = 0.002), but the difference failed to reach statistical significance by postoperative day 3 (5.87 g/L, 95% CI –0.13 to 11.86 g/L, p = 0.06) (Figure 2). We found a 25% difference on day 1 (18 g/L TXA v. 24 g/L control), a 26% difference on day 2 (21.8 g/L TXA, 29.6 g/L control) and a 16% difference on day 3 (24.9 g/L TXA, 29.6 g/L control). The overall mean difference in hemoglobin drop between the groups across the study was statistically significant in the repeated-measures MANOVA model (p = 0.004). There were no statistically significant differences identified within the groups over time (p values for time and the interactions between time and group and time and baseline hemoglobin level were all nonsignificant).

The percentage of patients requiring blood transfusion postoperatively did not differ significantly between the groups (6.4% of the TXA group v. 14.7% of the control group, p = 0.43) (Table 2). However, both of the patients in the TXA group who received transfusions received only 1 unit of pRBC. Conversely, of the 5 patients in the control group who received blood, 1 received 3 units, while another received 2 units (the others each received 1 unit). The total number of transfused units of pRBC was significantly different between the groups (p = 0.024). However, the patient given 3 units of pRBC received 2 of the 3 units intraoperatively because of hemodynamic instability. This was before the administration of topical treatment. The administration of these 2 units of pRBC would therefore not have been influenced by whether the patient received TXA or placebo. When these 2 units are excluded from the analysis, the difference in the total number of units transfused between groups is no longer statistically significant (p = 0.07).
A sensitivity analysis, which included baseline eGFR and smoking status along with baseline hemoglobin level as covariates in the repeated-measures MANOVA model, did not change the primary outcome results described above (data not shown).

**Secondary outcome measures**

The results of the analysis of secondary outcome measures are shown in Table 3. There were no statistically significant differences between the groups for any of the...
complications documented in the study, including ACS, CVA, VTE and 90-day mortality.

**Discussion**

This randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled trial demonstrated that topical TXA administered at the surgical site at the time of hip fracture surgery reduces early postoperative blood loss and exposure to allogenic blood. Whether the difference in blood loss between the groups is clinically significant remains in question. Our presstudy hypothesis was that a 20% reduction in blood loss would be clinically significant. We found a 25% difference on day 1, a 26% difference on day 2 and a 16% difference on day 3. In essence, the numerical difference in hemoglobin values between groups amounts to 1–2 units of pRBC.\(^21\),\(^22\) Although preoperative anemia is a known predictor of slower recovery after hip fracture,\(^23\) and postoperative anemia after elective hip surgery predicts reduced mobility,\(^24\) the association between postoperative anemia and mobility is less certain with hip fractures.\(^23\),\(^25\) It has been previously documented that intravenous TXA is effective at reducing postoperative blood loss following hip fracture surgery.\(^26\)–\(^30\) However, intravenous TXA has also been associated with cardiac and cerebrovascular events and increased mortality.\(^11\)–\(^13\) Although underpowered to detect rare postoperative complications like CVA and death, our small, randomized study demonstrated that topical TXA reduces early postoperative drop in hemoglobin without increased risk.

The number of patients exposed to allogenic blood did not differ significantly between the groups in this study. However, the number of units transfused was higher in the control group. One patient in the control group treated with an open approach to a subtrochanteric fracture received 2 units of blood intraoperatively outside of the transfusion parameters because of intraoperative hemodynamic instability. Although intraoperative bleeding would not be influenced by topical TXA administered at the completion of the surgery, inclusion of these 2 units of pRBC influenced our results (when the 2 units of pRBC transfused intraoperatively

---

**Table 1. Characteristics of study population**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>TXA no. (%)</th>
<th>Control no. (%)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, yr, mean ± SD</td>
<td>80.32 ± 10.73</td>
<td>79.03 ± 10.42</td>
<td>0.62†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, female</td>
<td>20 (64.5)</td>
<td>25 (73.5)</td>
<td>0.59‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoker</td>
<td>13 (41.9)</td>
<td>5 (14.7)</td>
<td>0.025‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, mean ± SD</td>
<td>25.08 ± 7.23</td>
<td>25.94 ± 5.62</td>
<td>0.60†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlson Comorbidity Index score, mean ± SD</td>
<td>4.35 ± 1.72</td>
<td>4.65 ± 1.97</td>
<td>0.53†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fracture type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intracapsular</td>
<td>13 (41.9)</td>
<td>12 (35.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intertrochanteric</td>
<td>17 (54.8)</td>
<td>19 (55.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtrochanteric</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>2 (5.9)</td>
<td>0.57‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>1 (3.2)</td>
<td>1 (2.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment modality</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHS</td>
<td>2 (6.4)</td>
<td>3 (8.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemiarthroplasty</td>
<td>11 (35.5)</td>
<td>10 (29.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMN</td>
<td>2 (6.4)</td>
<td>2 (5.9)</td>
<td>0.86‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORIF</td>
<td>1 (3.2)</td>
<td>4 (11.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFN</td>
<td>13 (41.9)</td>
<td>13 (38.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THA</td>
<td>2 (6.4)</td>
<td>2 (5.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA classification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2 (6.4)</td>
<td>2 (5.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>20 (64.5)</td>
<td>18 (52.9)</td>
<td>0.59‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>9 (29.0)</td>
<td>14 (41.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline eGFR, mean ± SD</td>
<td>87.29 ± 26.7</td>
<td>71.88 ± 22.5</td>
<td>0.015†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline hemoglobin, mean ± SD</td>
<td>123.35 ± 16.1</td>
<td>122.03 ± 17.2</td>
<td>0.75†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ASA = American Society of Anesthesiologists; BMI = body mass index; DHS = dynamic hip screw; eGFR = estimated glomerular filtration rate; IMN = intramedullary nail; SD = standard deviation; THA = total hip arthroplasty; TFN = trochanteric fixation nail; TXA = tranexamic acid.

*Unless indicated otherwise.
†From t test.
‡From Fisher exact test.
§From Pearson χ² test.
are excluded, the number of transfused units fails to reach statistical significance \( p = 0.07 \)). We designed the study with an intention-to-treat analysis, and therefore these data were included in our analysis. We anticipated a higher transfusion rate in our study on the basis of prior studies.\(^7\)–\(^9\) Our low transfusion rate may have been influenced by our restrictive protocol established a priori, which we chose to reduce postoperative complications with hip fracture surgery.\(^3\)\(^1\)

Prior studies have determined that the cost of each unit of blood transfused amounts to approximately $1800–$2600 in the United States.\(^3\)\(^2\) The fact that this small study resulted in a trend toward a 67%–75% reduction in units of blood transfused with topical TXA suggests that there may be considerable savings to the health care system, without accounting for the fact that allogenic blood transfusions are an independent predictor of prolonged hospital length of stay.\(^1\)\(^0\)

Given the observed transfusion incidence in this study, our power calculations were inaccurate, and it is anticipated that a larger study group would be required to reach statistical significance.

---

**Table 2. Transfusion data between groups**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>TXA</th>
<th>Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transfusion, no. (%)</td>
<td>2 (6.4)</td>
<td>5 (14.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total no. of units of pRBC transfused</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8‡</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

pRBC = packed red blood cells; TXA = tranexamic acid.

†From Fisher exact test.

‡One patient in the control group who had a subtrochanteric fracture required an open reduction and received 2 units of pRBC intraoperatively because of hemodynamic instability (i.e., outside of the transfusion parameters), followed by 1 unit of pRBC on postoperative day 1. When the 2 units of pRBC given intraoperatively are excluded, the numbers of units transfused between the groups are no longer significantly different (\( p = 0.07 \)).

§From \( \chi^2 \) test.

---

**Table 3. Secondary outcomes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Study group; no. (%) of patients</th>
<th>( p ) value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VTE†</td>
<td>TXA ( n = 31 ) 0 (0)</td>
<td>Control ( n = 34 ) 1 (2.9)‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perioperative ACS†</td>
<td>1 (3.2)</td>
<td>4 (11.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perioperative CVA†</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative surgical site infection†</td>
<td>1 (3.2)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All-cause mortality at 90 d postoperatively</td>
<td>2 (6.4)</td>
<td>1 (2.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACS = acute coronary syndrome; CVA = cerebrovascular accident; DVT = deep vein thrombosis; NA = not applicable; TXA = tranexamic acid; VTE = venous thromboembolism.

*From Fisher exact test.

†All complications were recorded up to 30 days postoperatively.

‡Denotes 1 case of DVT in the control group.
Interesting secondary findings of this study included the number of patients who developed an ACS around the time of hip fracture: 3 of the 74 enrolled patients were later excluded (before drug administration) because ACS was recognized preoperatively, and 5 of the 65 patients for whom data were analyzed developed ACS during the study. Although this is a lower rate of ACS than reported in other studies, it reminds us of the frailty of the hip fracture population. While a low hemoglobin level is associated with a higher risk of ACS after hip fracture, there is also a theoretical concern that TXA may increase the risk of myocardial infarction because of reduced clot breakdown. In this small study, there was no significant difference in ACS incidence between groups (3.2% vs. 11.8%). Although this may have been influenced by our exclusion criteria (history of ACS within 6 mo of presentation), this possibility warrants further study with a larger population.

Strengths of this study include the randomized, double-blinded and placebo-controlled design, aimed at minimizing bias. Further, a variety of hip fractures were included, which makes the findings generalizable to most hip fractures managed with multiple techniques. Unfortunately, there were only 2 subtrochanteric hip fractures in the study, both of which were in the control group, which means that we were unable to draw any conclusion regarding the efficacy of topical TXA in this subtype of hip fracture. In addition, the blood transfusion criteria were established a priori with the goal of reducing surgeon-to-surgeon variability in transfusion triggers. There were 6 surgeons participating in patient care, who may have had practice differences in terms of fracture management (i.e., intramedullary nail v. dynamic hip screw or hemiarthroplasty v. total hip arthroplasty), which again enhances the generalizability of our findings.

Limitations

Weaknesses of this study include the relatively small number of patients. This study was performed at a small community hospital that typically manages between 150 and 200 hip fractures per year. Our exclusion criteria were designed to ensure patient safety, but unfortunately this makes the applicability of the results to all frail elderly patients with hip fracture less certain. Although we hypothesize that less blood loss postoperatively can on its own be cardioprotective, a larger study including patients with some of our exclusion criteria would make our findings more generalizable to the typical patient presenting with hip fracture. This could form the basis of a multicentre study with less stringent exclusion criteria. Further, it has been suggested recently that TXA can be cytotoxic to human chondrocytes. Although this recent finding would limit the feasibility of using topical TXA around articular cartilage, it is noteworthy that the dose used in this study as well as the duration of exposure is considerably lower than in the above studies. Further, TXA-induced chondrocyte toxicity is not uncontested in the literature, with shorter duration of exposure at typical treatment doses revealing no chondrocyte toxicity.

CONCLUSION

Topical TXA reduces early perioperative blood loss without increasing complications in patients undergoing surgery for a hip fracture. Routine addition of this 3-minute step to the surgical management of hip fractures may help minimize perioperative blood loss in this frail population.
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