Colorectal surgery surgical site infection prevention practices in British Columbia
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Summary
Surgical site infections (SSI) pose significant morbidity after colorectal surgery. We sought to document current practices in colorectal surgery SSI prevention in British Columbia (BC). Reporting the current provincial landscape on SSI prevention helps to understand the foundation upon which improvements can take place. We surveyed all BC surgeons performing elective colon and rectal resections, and 97 surveys were completed (60% response rate). Eighty-six per cent of respondent hospitals tracked SSI rates. The reported superficial SSI was less than 5% and the anastomotic leak/organ space rate was less than 10%. All respondents gave preoperative prophylactic antibiotics, with 24% continuing antibiotics postoperatively; 62% are using oral antibiotics (OAB) and mechanical bowel preparation (MBP) and 29% use MBP without OAB. Areas for improvement include OAB with MBP and discontinuing prophylactic antibiotics postoperatively, as recommended by the World Health Organization.

Discussion
Surgical site infections (SSIs) cause significant morbidity after colorectal surgery; rates reported in the literature range from 1% to 45%.1 Modifiable SSI risk factors for colorectal surgery have been identified,2 and prevention strategies have been implemented into perioperative interventions, and have been shown to significantly reduce SSI rates.3 The World Health Organization (WHO) published guidelines for SSI prevention,4 but it is unknown whether these guidelines are followed in Canada and, specifically, in British Columbia (BC).

We designed a survey to document whether SSIs are being monitored, identify current SSI rates, and determine what strategies BC surgeons use for SSI prevention in elective colorectal surgery.

Survey design
All BC surgeons performing elective colon and rectal resections were invited to participate. Survey questions were based on WHO SSI guidelines as well as input from colorectal surgeons and a Harvard University Survey Research Methods expert (Dr. Thomas W. Mangione, Harvard University, Cambridge, Mass.: personal communication, 2017). Twenty-three questions were formulated to assess whether SSIs are monitored in BC hospitals, surgeon reported SSI rates, and use of SSI prevention measures (preoperative, intraoperative, postoperative). The online survey link was distributed on the Surgeons of BC listserv with anonymous, voluntary participation.

Outcomes
Ninety-seven surveys were returned, for a 60% response rate. Demographic location of respondents is shown in Figure 1: 81% were from teaching hospitals (17% academic, 64% community), 7% from community nonteaching hospitals and 12% from rural. Eighty-six per cent had a
hospital SSI tracking system, while 14% did not. Seventy-six per cent reported that their superficial SSI rate was 10% or less, and 79% said the anastomotic leak/organ space SSI rate was 5% or less (Figure 2).

**Preoperative practices**

Ninety-two per cent of survey respondents provide the following preoperative counselling: smoking cessation (83%), nutritional support (67%), tight glycaemic control (55%), weight loss (8%), and physical activity (8%). For skin cleansing at home the night before surgery, 33% recommend chlorhexidine wipes/sponge, 25% antimicrobial soap, 20% plain soap, and 23% nothing.

For bowel preparation, 62% prescribe mechanical bowel preparation (MBP) with oral antibiotics (OAB), 29% MBP alone, 4% OAB alone, and 5% neither MBP nor OAB (Figure 3). All respondents reported they administer prophylactic intravenous antibiotics within 60 minutes of skin incision: 93% cephalexin and metronidazole, 4% cephalexin only, and 3% ceftriaxone and metronidazole. For operative site hair removal, 95% use hair clippers, 4% shave, and 1% do not routinely remove hair. For abdominal skin preparation, 98% use alcohol-based chlorhexidine, and 2% use povidone-based preparation.

**Intraoperative practices**

Colorectal procedures are done laparoscopically by 48% in 76%–100% of cases, by 24% in 51%–75% of cases, by 15% in 26%–50% of cases, by 13% in 1%–25% of cases, and by 1% in no cases (Figure 4).

Regarding use of fraction of inspired oxygen (FiO₂) of 80% intraoperatively and 2 hours postoperatively, 56% of respondents were unaware of this recommendation, 21% followed this practice, and 24% did not. Sixty-two per cent reported the existence of glucose control protocols at their institutions, 28% said there were none, and 10%
did not know of any such protocols. Seventy-nine per
cent reported using goal-directed fluid therapy, 16% did
not, and 5% were not aware of the recommendation to
do so. All respondents reported use of warming devices to
maintain patient normothermia in the operating room:
71% always, 26% most of the time, 2% half the time, and
1% sometimes. A wound protector was used by 37% always,
28% most of the time, 6% about half the time, 10% sometimes,
and 19% never.

Postoperative practices

Prophylactic negative pressure wound dressings are
never used by 46% of respondents, by 45% sometimes,
by 2% about half the time, by 3% most of the time, and
by 3% always. All respondents use an Enhanced Recov-
ery After Surgery or similar multidisciplinary protocol
postoperatively: 68% always, 25% most of the time, 4% about
half the time, and 3% sometimes. Seventy-six per
cent reported they do not administer postoperative pro-
phylactic antibiotics; however, 19% administer antibiot-
ics for 24 hours, and 5% for more than 24 hours.

DISCUSSION

To our knowledge, this is the first Canadian survey on
colorectal surgery SSI prevention measures. Eighty per
cent of survey respondents described their hospital as a
teaching facility. This distribution is in keeping with the
majority of BC hospitals (77%) being training sites asso-
ciated with the University of British Columbia general
surgery residency program. It is important to under-
stand our current landscape of practice to make quality
improvements (QI).

Most surgeons follow WHO guidelines, including
administering preoperative prophylactic antibiotics within
60 minutes of skin incision (100%), removing hair with
clippers (96%), and preparing skin with alcohol-based
antisepsics (98%). Strong evidence-based WHO guidance
to use MBP in combination with OAB in bowel prepara-
tion was not followed by the 29% using MBP alone and
the 24% using prophylactic antibiotics alone postopera-
tively, and therefore improvement is possible. Compliance
with conditional WHO recommendations are more varied,
as evidence is moderate to low for those practices.
Meta-analysis of 23 studies including 17,557 patients found significant reduction in overall, superficial and organ/space SSIs. The greatest SSI reduction resulted from “care bundles” that included MBP with OAB, use of a sterile instrument closure tray and glove change before closure. However, compliance with individual components varied widely (19%–99%). Moving forward, a compliance measurement strategy is necessary to implement a province-wide program.

The Illinois Surgical Quality Improvement Collaborative demonstrated successful implementation of a multifaceted SSI reduction bundle across 32 hospitals. Their strategy entailed guided implementation, data monitoring, a central coordinating centre providing telephone/email support, and a comprehensive Colorectal SSI Reduction Toolkit. By following an established QI structure they showed that higher compliance rates translated into lower SSI rates. Using these techniques across our varied landscape may prove as beneficial in reducing our SSIs.

With programs such as the American College of Surgeons National Surgical Quality Improvement Program (ACS-NSQIP), surgeons are capable of tracking their SSI rates and improving surgical care through use of risk-adjusted clinical data. There are currently 95 ACS-NSQIP hospitals across Canada, 23 of which are in BC. Although this represents 59% of BC hospitals, 86% of surveyors had SSI tracking programs. Further information regarding the nature of these programs is needed before adoption of a standardized province-wide program and implementing QI initiatives for colorectal surgery SSI reduction.

**CONCLUSION**

The majority of BC surgeons surveyed had an established SSI tracking program and reported low SSI rates. A majority followed WHO recommendations of prophylactic preoperative antibiotics, use of hair clippers and...
alcohol-based chlorohexidine skin preparation. However, improvement is possible with standardized OAB with MBP and discontinuing postoperative prophylactic antibiotics. A province-wide SSI reduction program is possible through wide multidisciplinary collaboration using SSI tracking and iterative changes.
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